202 682 3130

12/04 '01 16:21 NO.128 01/04

202-418-2805

RECEIVED

DEC 0.5 2001

Federal Communications Commission

Office of Secretary

## ORIGINAL

## EX PARTE OR LATE FILED

## FACSIMILE COVER PAGE DELIVER AS SOON AS RECEIVED

To: Magalie Roman Salis

Roman Salis FAX:

Secretary

Federal Communications Commission

From: Timothy J. Regan

Company: Corning Incorporated

1350 I Street NW, Suite 500 Washington, DC 20005

Phone: 202/682-3140 Fax: 202/682-3130

Date: 12/4/2001

Subject: Letter from Wendell P. Weeks, President,

Coming Communications

Re: Promoting Broadband Investment (CC Docket Nos. 96-98, 98-147)

Pages including this cover page

-4-

Ms. Salis,

The attached letter was sent today to the following:

The Honorable Michael J. Powell

The Honorable Katheen Q. Abernathy

The Honorable Michael J. Copps

The Honorable Kevin J. Martin

The Honorable Dorothy Attwood

Mr. Matthew Brill

Mr. Kyle D. Dixon

Mr. Samuel Feder

Mr. Jordan Goldstein

Regards,

Timothy J. Regan

No. of Copies rec'd Clist ABCDE

Wendell P. Weeks

President
Optical Communications

Corning Incorporated
One Riverfront Plaza
MP-HQ-82-36
Corning, NY 14831

t 607 974 7401 f 607 974 7779 weekswp@corning.com

December 3, 2001

RECEIVED

DEC 0 5 2001

The Honorable Michael K. Powell Chairman Federal Communications Commission 445 12th Street, S.W. Washington, DC 20554

Federal Communications Communication
Office of Secretary

Re: Promoting Broadband Investment (CC Docket Nos. 96-98, 98-147)

Dear Chairman Powell:

I am writing to you on behalf of Coming Incorporated to bring to your attention a very urgent matter.

Corning is a global technology company operating in three broadly based business segments in the communications sector: telecommunications, advanced materials, and information display. We are primarily known as the leading supplier of optical fiber, fiber optic cable, and photonic components. In short, we provide the optical layer of the network.

It is no secret that the past year has been difficult for technology companies such as Corning. The fiber optics industry has been hit particularly hard. The industry has experienced historic layoffs and plant closings, both temporary and permanent. All manufacturing facilities have been idled to some degree or another.

Obviously, we need new opportunities. We have been exploring the potential for deploying fiber in the local access portion of the network. In the process, we have discovered that regulation is a significant hindrance to investment by ILECs in fiber access solutions. I hope the Commission will act quickly and decisively to address these regulatory problems.

Let me describe the regulatory problems by example. As you may know, SBC is deploying a state-of-the-art passive optical network called Project BPON on a trial basis in two cities: Mission Bay, California, and Keller, Texas. We, of course, would like to see SBC proceed to deploy this network throughout its region. Unfortunately, we have been advised that they have no intention of moving forward as long as the possibility remains open that unbundling, resale, and TELRIC pricing rules may be applied to



Corning incorporated

this technology deployment. These points were made in public statements by SBC's Senior Executive Vice President, Ross Ireland.

This development is particularly troubling in light of the tremendous progress that has been made by the fiber optics industry in developing system solutions that are cost effective. Today, an ILEC, or any other operator for that matter, can deploy a fiber-based solution for local access in a new build or total rehab situation at a cost that is comparable to that of a capacity-constrained copper-based solution. In other words, carriers can deliver 100 times more capacity over fiber for the same price as copper.

Despite the progress made in reducing the cost of the technology, fiber-based solutions are not being deployed in any significant volume. As evidenced by SBC's experience with Project BPON, the unbundling, resale, and pricing regulations are discouraging investment. In others words, the regulations that are inhibiting investment are having a serious negative impact on the fiber optics industry.

The Commission should act to reverse these negative effects. Specifically, the Commission should decide not to impose unbundling and resale obligations on fiber-based broadband facilities deployed by ILECs in new build and total rehab situations. Such conditions would encompass deployments like SBC's Project BPON. This approach preserves competition because both ILECs and CLECs are in exactly the same competitive position. Neither has facilities and both face the same challenges and opportunities in deploying new plant. Moreover, there are no legacy ILEC facilities for the ILEC to either leverage or unbundle for the CLECs.

Corning and Paceon (a leading manufacturer of BPON equipment) already have briefed your staff, the leadership of the Common Carrier Bureau, and legal assistants to the Commissioners on these important matters. I believe the depth of our concern and the validity of our recommendation is shared within the agency. I urge the Commission, therefore, to act immediately to remove uncertainty and incent investment by making a ruling to implement this recommendation. The Next Generation Networks (Docket Nos. 98-147 and 96-98) and Line Sharing (Docket Nos. 98-147 and 96-98) proceedings provide an avenue for taking such prompt and critical action. At a minimum, I urge you to propose such action in the upcoming UNE triennial review proceeding and to implement this recommendation as rapidly as possible without waiting for resolution of the myriad other issues that will be dealt with in that docket.

Corning incorporated

Adopting this proposal to relieve burdensome and unnecessary regulation would stimulate investment by both ILECs and their competitors without adversely affecting the evolution of competition. Doing so also is clearly consistent with your statement, "Digital Broadband Migration, Part II," in which you recognize that regulation can stifle investment.

I hope we can get together soon to discuss this proposal.

All the best,

CC: Commissioner Kathleen Q. Abemathy

Wendell Pheeks

Commissioner Michael J. Copps Commissioner Kevin J. Martin

Ms. Dorothy Attwood

Mr. Matthew Brill

Mr. Kyle D. Dixon

Mr. Samuel Feder

Mr. Jordan Goldstein